UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,864	12/24/2003	Hideyuki Nojiri	246871US3DIV	9345
22850 7590 12/24/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			DESAI, ANISH P	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,864	NOJIRI, HIDEYUKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANISH DESAI	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 39-61 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 41,42,48,55 and 56 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 39,40,43-47,49-54 and 57-61 is/are refered to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the content o	e/are withdrawn from consideration ejected. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B	≣xaminer.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed on 10/13/08 after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/13/08 has been entered.

- 2. Support for newly added claims 59-61 is found in the specification as originally filled.
- 3. All of the previously made 35 USC Section 112-first and second paragraph rejections are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and response.
- 4. All of the previously made art rejections are maintained.
- 5. A new 35 USC Section 102(b)/103(a) based on Honda (US 4,589,432) to claim 45 is made.
- 6. The amendment to specification received on 09/12/08 is entered into consideration, because the amendment does not add any new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Honda (US 4,589,432).
- 8. Regarding claim 45, Honda discloses an eyelash permanent curl setting rod (equated to Applicant's string member) comprising a solid or hollow rod member that is formed of material such as rubber (abstract, column 2 lines 25-30), and a coating of adhesive agent 3 applied to the circumferential periphery of the rod member. The rod member of Honda is shown below in Figure 2. The string member of Honda that is formed of rubber is equated to Applicant's resiliently stretchable elongate string member.



9. The rod member of Honda as shown above includes a first surface and a second surface to elongate consistently along the entire longitudinal direction of the string member while these surfaces are oppositely faced to each other as claimed. Further, the first surface and the second surface of Honda's string member each have a pair of side edges that consistently elongate along the entire longitudinal direction of the string member as claimed. Additionally, the individual side edges of the first surface and the second surface are each in linear forms in parallel to each other along the whole

Art Unit: 1794

longitudinal direction of the string member is in the unscratched state as claimed. Moreover, the cross sections (not shown but can be ascertained from Figure 2) of the first surface and the second surface perpendicular to the longitudinal direction of the string member each have outwardly protruding arcuate shapes along the entire longitudinal direction of the string member when the string member is in the unstretched state as claimed. Moreover, the cross sections (not shown but can be ascertained from Figure 2) each would have a uniform form along the whole longitudinal direction of the string member when the string member is in the unstretched state.

Page 4

10. As to the claimed features of "A double eyelid forming article for forming a fold on an eyelid of a user", the string member "configured to adhere to the eyelid in a stretched state along a longitudinal direction, wherein the string member in the stretched state is configured to have....due to the resilient shrinkability to form a double eyelid", it is reasonable to presume that Honda's string member is functionally capable of meeting the aforementioned claimed features. Because the structure and composition of Honda's string member is same as that of Applicant's string member. As set forth above, Honda's string member is formed of resiliently stretchable material such as rubber and it is covered with adhesive. Applicant's string member is also resiliently stretchable and is covered with adhesive. Further, as set forth above, the structures of Honda and Applicant's string member are structurally same. Therefore, Honda's string member is functionally capable of meeting the aforementioned claimed features.

Accordingly, Honda anticipates or strongly suggests the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 39, 40, 43, 44, 51-54, 57, and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodgson (US 3,645,835).
- 12. It is noted that claims 39 and 51 recites "a double eyelid forming article of manufacture for forming a fold on an eyelid of a user", "configured to be adhered onto an eyelid in a stretched state along a longitudinal direction to form a double eyelid", and "the tape member in the stretched state is configured to have a resilient shrinkability larger than tension of skin on the eyelid...for forming a fold on the eyelid by adhering to the eyelid while the tape member is in the stretched state and subsequently recoiling back toward the unstretched sate" are related to an intended use of the claimed article (i.e. tape member or string member).
- 13. It is respectfully submitted that the aforementioned recitations are intended use of the article, while some of the recitations are functional, it is respectfully submitted that if a structure and composition of the prior art article is same as claimed by the Applicant's article, then the prior art article is functionally capable of meeting the aforementioned recitations. Thus, any reference disclosing a tape member that is resiliently stretchable (i.e. elastic) having "a first and second surface to elongate consistently along the entire longitudinal direction of the tame member while these

Art Unit: 1794

rt Unit: 1704

surfaces are oppositely faced to each other", "the first surface and the second surface...pair of side edges that consistently elongate...the entire longitudinal direction of the tape member", "the individual side edges of the first surface and the second surface...forms in parallel to each other "long the whole longitudinal direction...when the tape member is in an unstretched state, cross sections of the first surface...uniform form along the whole longitudinal direction...when the tape member is in the unstretched state...the first surface and the second surface each have an adhesive layer...entirety of the first surface and the second surface, the tape member has a consistent...along the entire longitudinal direction", will read on the claims.

Page 6

14. Hodgson discloses adhesive materials for use on animal bodies especially human bodies for surgical, dermatological or cosmetic use. The examples include surgical drapes, adhesive dressings, strips and sheets, and eyeliners (Column 2. lines 74-75, Column 3. lines 1-5). Additionally, according to Hodgson another preferred use of the present invention is in surgical drapes. These are large flexible sheets, which are provided with a continuous layer of adhesive on at least a part only of one surface (column 7, lines 64-67). Further, at column 1 lines 25-30, Hodgson discloses "According to the present invention...a backing material having a pressure-sensitive adhesive on at least substantially the whole of the body-adhering portion of at least one surface of said backing material". This disclosure of applying the adhesive on at least one surface of the tape is interpreted as that adhesive layer can be applied on the both surfaces of the tape member, and it reads on the claim requirement of "the first surface

Art Unit: 1794

and the second surface each have an adhesive layer covering an entirety of the first surface and the second surface".

Page 7

- 15. Further, the adhesive drape of Hodgson as shown in Figure 7 has a backing layer 2 having an adhesive coating 4 on the surface of the backing layer. Further, the adhesive 4 is covered by a protector 6 (column 10, lines 27-31). The backing layer 2 of Hodgson is preferably formed of a polyurethane film (column 8, lines 5-6). At column 5, lines 69-74, Hodgson discloses Estane 5701 and 5702 brand thermoplastic polyurethane films, which is a polyurethane elastomeric film as evidenced by US 2007/0066185A1 to Felipe (paragraph 0058). Further, Hodgson teaches a use of elastic backing material (column 10, lines 38-39). The elastic backing layer 2 of Hodgson having an adhesive coating 4 is equated to a resiliently stretchable elongate tape member.
- 16. Moreover, the adhesive tape member of Hodgson, as shown in Figure 7 has "the a first surface and a second surface" that "elongate consistently along the entire longitudinal direction of the tape member while these surfaces are oppositely faced to each other" and "the individual side edges of the first surface and the second surface are each in linear forms in parallel to each other along the whole longitudinal direction of the tape member when the tape member is in an unstretched state". Additionally, if one were to examine the cross-section of the adhesive tape member of Hodgson as shown in Figure 7, it would read on "cross sections of the first surface...is in the unstretched state, the cross sections each have uniform form along the whole longitudinal direction...is in the unstretched state".

Art Unit: 1794

17. Additionally, as to the claim requirement of "the elongate member includes a pair of holding portions configured to be held with fingers and arranged individually on a first end of the elongate member and a second end of the elongate member along the longitudinal direction", Hodgson teaches that the two edges 24 of the backing material 2 are left uncoated (column 10, lines 31-32). Moreover, as to the claim requirement of "the elongate member includes an adhering portion", the claim does not explicitly exclude the adhering portion from being a part of the surfaces of the tape member, therefore a portion of the backing layer surface of Hodgson which is covered with an adhesive layer is equated to the "adhering portion".

Page 8

- 18. With respect to the claim requirements of "the tape member in the stretched state is configured to have a resilient shrinkability larger than tension of skin on the eyelid...for forming a fold on the eyelid by adhering to the eyelid while the tape member is in the stretched state and subsequently recoiling back toward the unstretched sate" and "the elongate member is configured to form the double fold by adhering to the eyelid where the elongate member...subsequently recoiling back toward the unstretched state due to the resilient shrinkability", "the first end of the elongate member and the second end of the elongate member along the longitudinal direction of the...form a part configured to be removed after the double eyelid is formed", the Examiner submits following:
- 19. It is reasonable to presume that the adhesive tape member of Hodgson has the properties of "resilient shrinkability" and sufficient width and a sufficient length for forming the fold. Because as set forth above the adhesive tape members of Hodgson

Art Unit: 1794

and that of Applicant have similar structure and composition. Therefore, the aforementioned features would be present. The burden is shifted to Applicant to prove it otherwise (see *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594).

- 20. Moreover, as to the claim requirement of the arrangement of a release material on each of the adhesive layers on the first surface and the second surface. It is noted that Hodgson discloses of applying the adhesive on at least one surface of the backing (column 1 lines 25-31) and covering the adhesive layer with a silicone-coated release paper (column 6 lines 70-73 and column 7 lines 70-71).
- 21. Thus, it would have been obvious to provide an adhesive on the second surface of the backing and cover it with a release layer, motivated by the desire to protect the adhesive layer.
- 22. As to the claim requirement of the elongate member being a string member and "cross sections...arcuate shapes...unstretched state", it is noted that the invention of Hodgson is used in surgical drapes, suture strips...solid eye liners etc. (column 3 lines 1-5). Further, Hodgson discloses the size and the shape of the suture strips may of course be varied as desired (column 8 lines 44-53). Therefore, choosing a shape the tape member in the form of a string having outwardly protruding arcuate shapes involves routine skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1794

23. Claims 45, 46, 50, and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clavin (US 4, 653, 483).

- 24. It is respectfully submitted that recitation "a double eyelid forming article of manufacture for forming a fold on an eyelid of a user", "configured to adhere to the eyelid in...to form a double eyelid", and "wherein the string member in the stretched state is configured to have a resilient shrinkability larger than a tension of skin on the eyelid and...recoiling back toward an unstretched state due to the resilient shrinkability to form a double eyelid", are intended use of the claimed article. While some of these recitations are functional in nature, it is respectfully submitted if a structure and composition of the prior art article is same as claimed by Applicant's article, then the prior art article is capable of functioning the intended use as presently claimed.
- 25. Thus any reference disclosing a string member that is resiliently stretchable (i.e. elastic) having "a first and second surface to elongate consistently along the entire longitudinal direction of the tame member while these surfaces are oppositely faced to each other", "the first surface and the second surface...pair of side edges that consistently elongate...the entire longitudinal direction of the tape member", "the individual side edges of the first surface and the second surface...forms in parallel to each other "long the whole longitudinal direction...when the tape member is in an unstretched state, cross sections of the first surface...uniform form along the whole longitudinal direction...when the unstretched state...the first surface and the second surface each have an adhesive layer...entirety of the first

Art Unit: 1794

surface and the second surface, the tape member has a consistent...along the entire longitudinal direction", will read on the claims.

Page 11

- 26. Clavin discloses an adhesive tape having a backing and a layer of adhesive on each side of the adhesive tape (see abstract, Figure 15 and column 5 lines 1-5). As to the claim requirement of "string member", it is noted that Applicant has generally recited "a string member" but not provided any dimension (e.g. diameter, length etc.) of a string member nor defined what is meant by "string member". It is noted that Calvin's tape is applied to an eyelid to retain a fold in the skin thereof (column 1 lines 10-15). Further, Clavin discloses that "According to the present invention, a very thin strip of double-sided adhesive tape 32 generally less than 1 cm in width and 4 cm in length is attached to the upper eyelid 10 (column 3 lines 50-55). This disclosure of Clavin reads on a string member as claimed.
- 27. Further, the backing film of Clavin is formed of polyethylene (see column 5 lines 39-45), which meets newly added claim 61. The polyethylene backing of Clavin reads on the resiliently stretchable elongate string member as required by the presently claimed invention. As to the claim requirements of "first surface and the second surface...oppositely faced to each other", "the first surface and the second surface each have a pair of side edges...of the string member", "the individual side edges are each in liner forms in parallel to each other...in an unstretched state", "cross sections of the first surface...outwardly protruding arcuate shapes...when the string member is in the unstretched state...the cross sections each have a uniform form along the whole...in the unstretched state", these limitations are disclosed in Figure 13 (e.g. arcuate shape of

Art Unit: 1794

the cross section) and Figure 15 or obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill in the art, motivated by the desire to produce a string member that can suitably be applied to evelids.

- 28. As to the claimed features of "wherein the string member in the stretched state is configured to have a resilient shrinkability larger than a tension of skin on the eyelid and…recoiling back toward an unstretched state due to the resilient shrinkability to form a double eyelid" and "a first end of the string member and a second end of the string member…a part configured to be removed after the double eyelid is formed", it is reasonable to presume that said features are necessarily present in the string member of Clavin.
- 29. Support for said presumption is based on the fact that as stated above the string members of Clavin and that of Applicant are structurally and compositionally equivalent. Therefore, said claimed features would be present. The burden is shifted to Applicant to prove it otherwise (*In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594).
- 30. As to the claim requirement of the release material in a consistent and integral form, it would have been obvious to provide a release material in consistent and integral form, motivated by the desire to protect the adhesive layers.

Art Unit: 1794

31. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calvin (US 4,653,483) in view of Samuelsen et al. (WO 99/38929). US 6,482,491B1 to Samuelsen is relied upon for convenience.

- 32. The invention of Clavin is previously disclosed. Clavin is silent as to teaching the individual release materials each include an arcuate groove along a surface of ach of the adhesive layers. However, Samuelsen discloses an article having a surface showing adhesive properties and a cover layer (release layer) for protecting the adhesive surface (abstract). Additionally, the release layer of Samuelsen has indentations in the forms of grooves, ridges, bulges, bumps etc. (column 2 lines 22-26 and column 4 lines 20-35). This disclosure of Samuelsen reads on the release material having an arcuate groove as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the release materials having arcuate groove, motivated by the desire to easily remove the release materials.
- 33. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clavin (US 4,653,483) in view of Hodgson (US 3,645,835).
- 34. Clavin does not explicitly teach "a first end of string tape member and a second end of the string member...holding portions configured to be held with fingertips, and the holding portions have no adhesive property or have a suppressed adhesive property". However, the invention of Hodgson is previously disclosed. Hodgson discloses that the two edges 24 of the backing material 2 are left uncoated (column 10, lines 31-32). The uncoated areas on the backing layer of Hodgson reads on a holding portion as claimed.

Art Unit: 1794

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide holding portion having no adhesive property such that the string member can be handled easily during its application to an eyelid by a user and such arrangement would prevent user accidentally touching the adhesive layer and potentially contaminating the adhesive.

- 35. Claims 59 and 61 are under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hodgson (US 3,645,835) as applied to claims 39 and 51, and further in view of Berglund et al. (US 4,310,509).
- 36. Hodgson is silent as to teaching polyethylene. However, Berglund discloses a PSA having antimicrobial material in it. Further at column 4 lines 30-40; Berglund discloses that the homogeneous dispersion [i.e. adhesive] is coated onto various backings to form dressings, drapes, tapes, etc. The preferred backing material is polyethylene.
- 37. It is noted that Hodgson's invention is directed to PSA that are used in dressings, plasters, adhesive bandages etc. (column 1 lines 5-15). Hodgson is silent as to teaching polyethylene. Secondary reference of Berglund provides polyethylene that can be used in adhesive tapes, dressings etc.
- 38. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the polyethylene backing as taught by Berglund and used as a backing in the invention of Hodgson, because selecting a

Art Unit: 1794

known material based on its suitability for its intended use establishes a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Response to Arguments

39. Applicant's arguments filed on 09/12/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

40. Regarding the art rejections based on Hodgson (US 3,645,835), Applicant argues following at page 16 of 09/12/08 amendment:

However, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Hodgson</u> does not disclose or suggest "a resiliently stretchable elongate tape member configured to adhere to the eyelid in a stretched state along a longitudinal direction, wherein the tape member in the stretched state is configured to have a resilient shrinkability larger than a tension of skin on the eyelid and also has a sufficient width and a sufficient length such that the tape member is configured to form the fold on the eyelid by adhering to the eyelid while the tape member is in the stretched state and subsequently recoiling back toward an unstretched state due to the resilient shrinkability to form a double eyelid," as recited in amended Claim 39.

- 41. Moreover, Applicant asserts that the resilient shrinkability of the tape is a structural property of the tape.
- 42. The Examiner respectfully submits that while Hodgson does not explicitly teach the aforementioned features; as set forth in the Office Action it is respectfully submitted that Hodgson's device is <u>functionally</u> capable of meeting the aforementioned requirements, because Hodgson's device is formed of same material as the claimed

Art Unit: 1794

article. Further, the Examiner understands that the resilient shrinkability of the tape is a structural property of the tape. It is noted that the backing layer 2 of Hodgson is preferably formed of a polyurethane film (column 8, lines 5-6). At column 5, lines 69-74, Hodgson discloses Estane 5701 and 5702 brand thermoplastic polyurethane films, which is a polyurethane elastomeric film as evidenced by US 2007/0066185A1 to Felipe (paragraph 0058). Further, Hodgson teaches the use of an elastic backing material (column 10, lines 38-39). It is respectfully submitted that elastic material is inherently stretchable. While Hodgson does not disclose of forming a fold on an eyelid of a user as recited by Applicant above; it is respectfully submitted that since the structure and composition of Hodgson's tape is structurally similar to Applicant's device, Hodgson is functionally capable of meeting this requirement.

- 43. It is respectfully submitted that Applicant has provided no factual evidence that would clearly indicate that Hodgson's device cannot be used to form a fold on user's eyelid as claimed. Moreover, as to the arguments regarding sufficient width and length, it is noted that the term "sufficient" is relative and Applicant has provided no guidance as to what is meant by "sufficient width" or "sufficient length". Therefore, the tape of Hodgson meets the claim requirements of "sufficient width" and "sufficient length" such that it can form a fold on the eyelid.
- 44. It is noted that regarding claim 51, Applicant has made similar arguments as that of made above with respect to claim 39. In response, the Examiner respectfully incorporates his comments as set forth above here by reference.

Art Unit: 1794

45. With respect to the art rejections based on Clavin (US 4,653,483) reference, Applicant argues following at page 18 of 09/12/08 Office Action:

Clavin describes an adhesive strip 32 configured to hold the skin of the upper eyelid 10 which is pulled gently down over the adhesive strip 32 to reduce bagging of the upper eyelid 10.² Thus, Clavin describes that the adhesive strip 32 is first placed on the eyelid 10, and then a user folds the eyelid 10 over the adhesive strip 32.

However, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Clayin</u> does not disclose or suggest "a resiliently stretchable elongate string member configured to adhere to the eyelid in a stretched state along a longitudinal direction, wherein the string member in the stretched state is configured to have a resilient shrinkability larger than a tension of skin on the cyclid and also has a sufficient width and a sufficient length such that the string member is configured to form the fold on the cyclid by adhering to the cyclid while the string member is in the stretched state and subsequently recoiling back toward an unstretched state due to the resilient shrinkability to form a double cyclid," as recited in amended Claim 45.

Instead, as discussed above, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Clavin</u> describes that the adhesive strip 32 is placed on the upper eyelid 10, and then the user folds the cyclid 10 onto the adhesive strip 32. Thus, <u>Clavin</u> is silent regarding any resilient shrinkability of the adhesive strip 32 to overcome tension of skin on the cyclid 10. Additionally, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Clavin</u> does not describe that the tape member is configured to adhere to the cyclid in a stretched state and then recoil back toward an unstretched state while maintaining adherence to the cyclid 10. As discussed above, Applicant respectfully submits that the

46. The Examiner respectfully submits that as stated in the Office Action, while Clavin does not explicitly teach "a resiliently stretchable elongate string member configured to adhere to the eyelid in a stretched state along a longitudinal direction...to

Art Unit: 1794

form double eyelid"; it is reasonable to presume that said feature is necessarily present in the invention of Calvin.

- 47. The support for said presumption is relied upon the facts set forth above with respect to Clavin's rejection. Specifically, it is noted that the device of Clavin and that of Applicant are both formed of same material, namely polyethylene (see column 5 lines 39-45 of Clavin and claims 59-61 of Applicant). Therefore, to the Examiner Clavin's tape is resiliently stretchable. While Clavin may not explicitly teach that the tape member is configured to adhere to the eyelid in a stretched state and then recoil back toward an unstretched state while maintaining adherence to the eyelid; it is respectfully submitted that since Clavin's tape and that of Applicant are formed of same material; Clavin's tape is functionally capable of meeting this requirement.
- 48. Accordingly, Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive in determination of patentability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANISH DESAI whose telephone number is (571)272-6467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Hai Vo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794